

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

10 This Order Relates To:

11 *ALL CASES*

12 **ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
NONDISPOSITIVE ORDER OF  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

13 Re: Dkt. No. 3079

14 After considering Defendants' dkt. 3079 Motion for Relief from dkt. 2995  
15 Nondispositive Order of Magistrate Judge Cisneros Resolving Discovery Letter Regarding  
16 Rule 30(b)(6) Depositions, the Court has concluded that Judge Cisneros exercised sound  
17 judgement in authorizing Plaintiffs' request that Uber's deponents bring laptops with  
18 access to Uber's systems to the depositions. The Court agrees with Judge Cisneros that  
19 Plaintiffs' request is within the bounds of Rule 30 and "likely appropriate and  
20 proportional . . . in light of the many disputes the parties have raised thus far regarding  
21 how Uber's documents are organized." Dkt. 2995 at 6. Therefore, Defendants' Motion for  
22 Relief is denied.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: May 29, 2025

25   
CHARLES R. BREYER  
26 United States District Judge

27

28